	Application Number Filing Date		10750789 2004-01-02	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Myer	s	
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Art Unit		3739	
(Examiner Name	David	d Shay	
	Attorney Docket Number	er	32/1198US3	

U.S.PATENTS Remove										
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue D	ate	Name of Pate of cited Docu	entee or Applicant ment	Releva	,Columns,Lines wher ant Passages or Rele s Appear	
	1									
If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.										
			U.S.P.	ATENT	APPLI	CATION PUBI	LICATIONS		Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publica Date	tion	Name of Pate of cited Docu	entee or Applicant ment	Releva	,Columns,Lines wher ant Passages or Rele s Appear	
	1									
If you wis	h to ac	dd additional U.S. Publis	shed Ap	plication	citation	n information p	lease click the Add	button	Add	
				FOREIG	N PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ²		Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee Applicant of cited Document	or v	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevan Figures Appear	T5
	1									
If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button Add										
NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Remove										
Examiner Initials* Cite No Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.								T 5		

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10750789	
Filing Date		2004-01-02	
First Named Inventor Myers		s	
Art Unit		3739	
Examiner Name David		d Shay	
Attorney Docket Number		32/1198US3	

1	Akchurin, Gairf, et al., "Evaluation of the degree of turbidity of cataract lens and its correlation with retinal visual acuity," SPIE, Vol. 3591, 19999, pp. 74-81	
2	Amann, Josef, et al., "Increased Endothelial Cell Density in the Paracentral and Peripheral Regions of the Human Cornea," American Journal of Ophthalmology, Vol. 135, No. 5, 2003, pp. 584-590	
3	Amendt, M. Strauss, et al., "Modeling of Bubble Dynamics in Relation to Medical Applications," SPIE, Vol. 2975, 1997, pp. 362-373	
4	Ansari, Rafat R., et al., "Measuring Lens Opacity: Combining Quasi-Elastic Light Scattering With Scheimpflug Imaging System," SPIE, Vol. 3246, 1998, pp. 35-42	
5	Apple, David J., et al., "Preparation and Study of Human Eyes Obtained Postmortem with the Miyake Posterior Photographic Technique," Ophthalmology, Vol. 97, No. 6, 1990, pp. 810-816	
6	Barak, Adiel, et al., "Anterior Capsulotomoy Using the CO2 Laser," SPIE, Vol. 3246, 1998, pp. 196-198	
7	Balaram, Mini, et al., "Noncontact Specular Microscopy of Human Lens Ephitelium, IOVS, Vol. 41, No. 2., 2000, pp. 474-481	
8	Ben-Sira, I., et al., "Clinical Method for Measurement of Light Backscattering from the in vivo human lens," Invest. Ophthalmology Vis. Sci., Vol 19, No. 4 (Reports), 1980, pp. 435-437	
9	Benjamin, William J., "Borish's Clinical Refraction," W. B. Saunders, publishers, copyright 1998, pg. 110	
10	Bettelheim, Frederick A., et al., "Syneretic Response of Aging Normal Human Lens to Pressure," Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science, Vo. 44, No. 1, 2003, pp. 258-263	
11	Bigler, Emmanuel, "Depth of Field and Scheimpflug's Rule: A "Minimalist" Geometric Approach," ENSMM, France, 2002, pp. 1-17	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10750789	
Filing Date		2004-01-02	
First Named Inventor Myers		S	
Art Unit		3739	
Examiner Name David		l Shay	
Attorney Docket Number		32/1198US3	

	12		. F., et al., "3D Imaging of the Human Eye Using The Laser Tomographic Scanner Lts es, University of Heidelberg, undated, 2 pages, University of Heidelberg, undated, 2 pa						
	13		Bito, L.Z., et al., "Age-Dependent Loss of Accomodative Amplitude in Rhesus Monkeys: An Animal Model for Presbyopia," Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci., Vol 23, No. 1, 1982, pp. 23-31						
	14		ng, Detlef, et al., "Fundamental Aspects in Machining of Metals with Short and Ultrashort Laser Pulses," SPIE, 339, pp. 1-15						
	15	Burd, F	urd, H.J., et al., "Numerical Modeling of the Accommodating Lens," Vision Research, Vol. 42, 2002, pp. 2235-2251						
	16	Carey, James, et al., "Propogation and Characterization of Ultrashort Laser Pulses," Spectroscopy of Systems with Spatially Confined Structures, Ed. Rino Di Bartolo, Kluwer Academic Press, Netherlands, 2003, pp. 1-30							
	17	Chen, Wei-Li, et al., "Ultrasound Biomicroscopic Findings in Rabbit Eyes Undergoing Scleral Suction during Lamellar Refractive Surgery," IOVS, Vol. 43, No. 12, 2002, pp. 3665-3672							
	Clafin, E.S., et al., "Configuring an Electrostatic Membrane Mirror by least-squares fitting with analytically derived influence functions," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A., Vol. 3, No. 11, 1986, pp. 1833-1839								
	19	Coleman, D. Jackson, et al., "Presbyopia, Accommodation, and the Mature Catenary," Ophthalmology, Vol. 108, No. 9, 2001, pp. 1544-1551							
If you wis	If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button Add								
EXAMINER SIGNATURE									
Examiner Signature Date Considered									
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.									
¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at <u>www.USPTO.GOV</u> or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.									

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10750789		
Filing Date		2004-01-02		
First Named Inventor Myers		s		
Art Unit		3739		
Examiner Name David		d Shay		
Attorney Docket Number		32/1198US3		

	CERTIFICATION STATEMENT						
Plea	Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):						
	That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).						
OR							
	That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).						
	See attached cer	rtification statement.					
	Fee set forth in 3	7 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith	l.				
✓	None						
SIGNATURE A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.							
Signature /ki		/kirkadamman/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2006-06-20			
Name/PrintKirk A. DammanRegistration Number42461		42461					
pub 1.14 app	This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S.						

Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria**,

VA 22313-1450.

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these record s.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
 - 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.